

Fall 1999 through Spring 2000



Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Fisheries Management and Habitat Protection

Root River Steelhead Facility Fall 1999 through Spring 2000

John Kubisiak

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
Bureau of Fisheries Management and Habitat Protection
Plymouth Field Station
P.O. Box 408
Plymouth, Wisconsin 53073

May, 2001

Abstract – A total of 6,029 chinook salmon, 1,150 coho salmon, 2,241 steelhead and 131 brown trout were examined at the Root River Steelhead Facility (RRSF) during fall, 1999 and spring, 2000. The majority of the chinook (5,388 or 89%) were passed upstream. The remaining 641 were sacrificed for either disease or contaminant testing, or were too weak to pass. Six hundred twenty-five chinook salmon were spawned to contribute about 800,000 eggs. A total of 978 coho salmon were passed upstream, another 154 were transported to Kettle Moraine Springs Hatchery as broodstock, and the remaining 18 were sacrificed for disease testing, or were too weak to pass. Two hundred sixty-one coho salmon were spawned to produce 150,000 eggs. The fall return of steelhead was again low, at only 70. Fifty skamania-strain steelhead were identified by fin clips and transported along with eight skamania from the Kewaunee River to Kettle Moraine Springs Hatchery as broodstock to produce 182,543 eggs. One steelhead died in the weir, and the remaining 19 were passed upstream. The bulk of the spring steelhead (2,107 fish) were passed upstream; 427 of these were spawned before passage to produce 1,552,476 eggs. The remaining 64 spring steelhead were sacrificed for disease testing or to recover coded wire tags. All 131 brown trout and 6 brook trout were passed upstream. The estimated population of chinook salmon, at 13,836 (+4,088 SD), was more than twice the next-highest year, while coho salmon declined for the second year in a row, to 3.101 (+ 434 SD). Estimated populations of brown trout and ganaraska steelhead were 750 (+ 342 SD) and 1,625 (+ 278 SD), respectively. Very few skamania steelhead were passed upstream, and no recaptures were made for either skamania or chambers creek steelhead.

The Root River Steelhead Facility (RRSF) continues to be a valuable source of fish for both anglers and fishery managers. It is one of three weirs operated by Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) to collect information and broodstock from Lake Michigan trout and salmon. The Strawberry Creek Weir in Sturgeon Bay targets chinook salmon, while the Basadney Area Fishery Facility (BAFF) on the Kewaunee River targets coho salmon and the RRSF contributes primarily steelhead. In addition, BAFF and RRSF provide backup collection sites for the other species. Brown trout do not return well to the weir sites, and are collected in the lower reaches of the rivers with a boat electroshocker. Management of trout and salmon in Lake Michigan brood rivers is intended to ensure adequate egg collections, conserve the genetic diversity of feral trout and salmon stocks and provide fishing opportunities. To accomplish these objectives, weir operations follow strategies outlined by WDNR guiding documents (e.g., Ives 1996, WDNR 1999).

The weirs provide a more efficient and reliable method to collect adult salmonids than the portable weirs and electrofishing efforts employed during past years. The RRSF was constructed in 1994 through a cooperative effort by WDNR, Salmon Unlimited, City of Racine and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. In addition to providing a collection and processing site for returning adult salmonids, the RRSF provides a unique educational tool for school groups and other interested publics.

This paper reports the results of data collected at the RRSF during fall, 1999 through spring, 2000. These data contribute to a long-term index of chinook, coho and steelhead populations in the Root River, and are collected to fulfill three objectives: 1) track the abundance of salmonid returns, 2) measure age-specific growth and condition of each species and/or strain, and 3) estimate return rate of each species.

METHODS

During operation of the weir, a minimum of 100 fish per targeted species and fin clip were sampled. These fish were measured to the nearest millimeter, weighed to the nearest 0.1 pound, examined for fin clips, gender and condition. The remaining fish were tallied by species, sex and fin clip. Gametes were stripped from these fish, if needed. After this initial handling, fish were either held for broodstock, passed upstream or sacrificed (fish health or contaminant samples). All fish passed upstream were given an upper caudal clip for population estimates.

All non-target species or fin clips were tallied by species, fin clip and sex, given an upper caudal clip and passed upstream. All coded wire tagged (CWT) fish are marked by an adipose-only clip, and have a tiny microtag implanted in their heads. The CWT fish were measured, weighed and sacrificed; heads were removed from behind the opercular flap, and frozen for later examination. Fish needed for other studies including disease or contaminant samples were frozen for later examination.

Size and condition

Trends in size and condition of all species processed at RRSF are calculated. Only fish with both total length and weight data are included in calculations of 1) average weight, 2) trophy weight (95th percentile of the weight distribution), and 3) standard weight (predicted weight at a given length based on a length-weight regression).

Steelhead strain evaluation

Approximately 33,000 fish per steelhead strain (skamania, chambers creek and ganaraska) are stocked into the Root River annually. All steelhead stocked in the broodstock rivers (Root and Kewaunee Rivers) are marked with a fin clip to identify the strain and yearclass. Each strain is assigned three fin clips (two fin clips prior to 1997), which are rotated annually. In addition to their use in identifying fish for breeding purposes, the fin clips allow each strain to be evaluated. This includes age of returning fish, return rates and population estimates by strain.

Population estimates

Fish that are passed by the weir are marked with a caudal (tail) clip, and recaptures of marked fish are noted in the creel survey for a mark-recapture population estimate of the population above the weir. Population estimates for each species or strain are derived from one of two equations. When sample sizes were adequate, the Petersen equation for mark and recapture was used (Ricker 1975):

$$N = \frac{M * C}{R} \quad (1)$$

Where

N =size of population in the river

M = number of marked fish at large in the river

C = number of recaptured fish

R = number of marked fish in the recapture sample

The sample standard deviation was calculated as:

$$S(N) = \sqrt{\frac{M^2 * C * (C - R)}{R^3}} \quad (2)$$

For species or strains with low sample sizes, the Bailey's modified equation was used for the population estimate (Ricker 1975):

$$N = \frac{M * (C + 1)}{R + 1}$$
 (3)

With sample standard deviation:

$$S(N) = \sqrt{\frac{M^2 * (C+1) * (C-R)}{(R+1)^2 * (R+2)}}$$
 (4)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The sixth season of operation for RRSF began September 29, 1999 and concluded March 30, 2000. A total of 6,029 chinook, 1,150 coho, 2,241 steelhead and 131 brown trout were examined (Table 1).

Chinook salmon

A total of 6,022 chinook salmon were examined at RRSF during fall, 1999 (Table 2). Another seven bright silver (i.e., not spawning color) chinook were passed during spring operations. More chinook than past years were sacrificed for contaminant samples (18), disease testing (99), or were too weak to pass (524). The large return of chinook may have caused crowding in the pens and increased mortality. However, the majority (5,388 or 89%) were passed upstream. About 800,000 eggs were collected from 625 chinook.

Average weight of chinook salmon increased to 13.2 pounds, a pound over the 1998 value and the highest over the six-year period of record (Table 3). Standard weight was the second-highest since 1994, while trophy weight was near the median value.

Chinook strain evaluation

The fall, 1999 return of four-year-olds from the 1995 yearclass concluded the evaluation between Lake Ontario strain (LOS) and Lake Michigan strain (LMS) chinook salmon. In all cases, LMS chinook of a given age (return at age 1+ was not evaluated) returned at higher rates than LOS chinook (Table 5). In addition, average lengths and weights of LMS were considerably larger than LOS for both males and females, except during 1998, when age 3+ and 4+ LMS were smaller than similarly-aged LMS from other years and similar to LOS 3+ and 4+ fish. In general, LMS chinook salmon survived better and grew larger than LOS chinook. These results agree with the findings of Peeters and Royseck (1998).

Coho salmon

During September 29 through November 3, 1999, 1,150 coho salmon were examined at RRSF (Table 6). Most coho (978) were passed upstream, constituting 85% of the return. Another 18 were saved for health analysis, and 154 were transferred to a hatchery for spawning. The 150,000 eggs were taken from 261 coho. Age composition (based on length-frequencies) was similar to 1994 through 1996, with 44% age 1+ and 56% age 2+ (Table 7).

Average weight and length, standard weight and trophy weight were all the highest recorded for coho salmon at RRSF (Table 2). This corroborates Lake Michigan creel data, which indicated that coho were exceptionally large and in good condition during 1999, but harvest numbers were down (Kubisiak 2000).

Steelhead

A total of 2,241 steelhead were examined at RRSF from September 29, 1999 to March 30, 2000. Most fish (2,126 or 95%) were passed upstream (Table 9). Fifty skamania-strain steelhead were transported to Kettle Moraine Springs hatchery during late summer and fall and held until ready to spawn. Sixty-five steelhead were sacrificed for disease testing or contaminants samples. The remainder (2,126) were passed upstream.

Egg collections totaled 182,543 skamania, 738,776 chambers creek and 813,700 ganaraska. Combined steelhead-broodstock numbers from the BAFF (Hogler and Surendonk 2001) and RRSF have declined below the target of 200 to 250 pairs per strain (Ives 1996). Egg collections, which should total 500,000 per strain to produce 170,000 yearling steelhead, have been barely adequate as a result, particularly for skamania. Possible factors contributing to this decline may include excessive angler-harvest of adult steelhead, one or more poor yearclasses, poor stream conditions for juveniles or adults, poor condition of returning adults, declining genetic fitness of feral broodstock, or too much passage of fish during periods when the weir is open. These factors and possible solutions are being investigated by Team Nearshore, a group of WDNR fisheries management and hatchery professionals charged to improve nearshore Lake Michigan fisheries.

Steelhead strain evaluation

The percent age composition of the spring and fall runs was assigned from age-length keys developed from known-age (fin clipped) fish, including 46 fall-run and 540 spring-run steelhead. All of the fin-clipped fall steelhead were skamania strain, except one age 3 chambers creek. Age 1 and 2 were not represented in the fall run, age 3+ comprised 32.3%, 4 were 54.7%, 5 were 5.2% and 6 were 7.8% (Table 10). During spring, age 2 represented 8% of the return, 3 were 21.3%, 4 were 53.6%, 5 were 14.2% and 6 were 3.0%. Steelhead returns during fall, 1999 and spring, 2000 appear to be skewed towards age 4 fish, with over 50% of both spring and fall runs contributed by age 4.

All three steelhead strains have been stocked in approximately equal proportions over the last decade (Table 11). Each strain receives a unique fin clip, and fin clips within a strain are rotated on a three-year cycle since 1997. This allows much cleaner separation of yearclasses than the two-year fin clip rotation used previously. All three strains of steelhead returned in the greatest number at age 4. Age-4 skamania females averaging 28.7 inches and 7.8 pounds; males averaged 30.7 inches and 9.2 pounds (Table 12).

Age-4 chambers creek females averaged 28.7 inches and 8.2 pounds; males averaged 30.1 inches and 8.5 pounds. Age-4 ganaraska females averaged 27.8 inches and 7.8 pounds; males averaged 29.9 inches and 9.3 pounds (Table 13).

Fall sizes of skamania appear to be larger than spring sizes of other strains (Tables 12 and 13). However, a steelhead advances a year in age from fall to spring, but is unlikely to grow significantly, so it might be more appropriate to compare within yearclasses. For example, age-4 returns during fall, 1999 and age-5 returns during spring, 2000 are both from the 1995 yearclass, and mean lengths and weights did not differ substantially among strains (Tables 12 and 13).

Population estimates

All fish passed upstream of RRSF received a caudal clip for use in a mark-recapture population estimate of trout and salmon in river-reaches upstream of the weir (Table 14). The recapture phase of the estimate uses the creel survey to identify upstream recaptures. A record 13,836 (\pm 4,088 SD) chinook salmon were estimated during 1999. The coho estimate of 3,101 (\pm 434) is the lowest over the six-year period (excluding 1994, when too few were recaptured to generate an estimate). Only 19 skamania were released above the weir and none of these were recaptured, making the estimate of 266 (\pm 181 SD) subject to question. Nevertheless, it provides additional evidence that the skamania return was extremely poor. Too few chambers creek steelhead were encountered to produce an estimate, but the ganaraska estimate of 1,625 (\pm 278 SD) was also very low.

REFERENCES

Hogler, S. and S. Surendonk. 2001. Return, size, age, and movement of steelhead at the Besadney Anadromous Fisheries Facility, 2000. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Madison, WI. 25 pages.

Ives, D. 1996. Anadromous feral broodstock protocol. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Madsion, WI. 2 pages.

Kubisiak, J. 2000. Wisconsin's 1999 open water sportfishing effort and harvest from Lake Michigan and Green Bay. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Madison, WI. 20 pages.

Peeters, P. and K. Royseck 1998. Harvest, age and size at age of chinook and coho salmon at Strawberry Creek Weir and the Besadny Anadromous Fisheries Facility. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Madison, WI. 52 pages.

Ricker, 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bulletin 191. Department of the Environment, Fisheries and Marine Service. Ottawa, Canada. 382 pages.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 1999. Lake Michigan steelhead fisheries management plan 1999. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Madison, WI. 19 pages.

 $Table\ 1.\ Summary\ of\ chinook\ salmon,\ coho\ salmon,\ steelhead,\ brown\ and\ brook\ trout\ captured\ at\ the\ Root\ River\ Steelhead\ Facility\ during\ 1994-2000$

CHINOOK SALMON

| Harvest year | Harvested | Passed upstream | Misc. samples | Total |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| Fall, 1994 | 129 | 1,726 | 3 | 1,858 |
| Fall, 1995 | 300 | 2,663 | 16 | 2,979 |
| Fall, 1996 | 62 | 5,440 | 87 | 5,589 |
| Fall, 1997 | 0 | 3,974 | 128 | 4,102 |
| Fall, 1998 | 67 | 3,845 | 65 | 3,977 |
| Fall, 1999 | 221 | 5,381 | 420 | 6,022 |
| Spring, 2000 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |

COHO SALMON

| Harvest year | Harvested | Passed upstream Misc. samples | | Total |
|--------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Fall, 1994 | 285 | 513 | 15 | 813 |
| Fall, 1995 | 1,191 | 2,115 | 15 | 3,321 |
| Fall, 1996 | 161 | 3,940 | 305 | 4,406 |
| Fall, 1997 | 655 | 6,909 | 330 | 7,894 |
| Fall, 1998 | 328 | 3,336 | 336 | 4,000 |
| Fall, 1999 | 154 | 978 | 18 | 1,150 |

STEELHEAD

| Harvest year | Harvested | Passed upstream | Misc. samples | Total |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| Fall, 1994 | 218 | 583 | 47 | 848 |
| Spring, 1995 | 120 | 2,582 | 18 | 2,720 |
| Fall, 1995 | 330 | 208 | 0 | 538 |
| Spring, 1996 | 150 | 2,970 | 49 | 3,169 |
| Fall, 1996 | 248 | 105 | 0 | 353 |
| Spring, 1997 | 2 | 2,918 | 125 | 3,045 |
| Fall, 1997 | 408 | 228 | 8 | 644 |
| Spring, 1998 | 0 | 382 | 0 | 382 |
| Fall, 1998 | 86 | 64 | 1 | 151 |
| Spring, 1999 | 0 | 2,131 | 132 | 2,263 |
| Fall, 1999 | 50 | 19 | 1 | 70 |
| Spring, 2000 | 0 | 2,107 | 64 | 2,171 |

BROWN TROUT

| Harvest year | Harvested | Passed upstream | Misc. samples | Total |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| Fall, 1994 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 259 |
| Fall, 1995 | 46 | 645 | 0 | 691 |
| Spring, 1996 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Fall, 1996 | 70 | 244 | 0 | 314 |
| Spring, 1997 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Fall, 1997 | 114 | 369 | 3 | 486 |
| Spring, 1998 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Fall, 1998 | 14 | 202 | 12 | 228 |
| Fall, 1999 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| Spring, 2000 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |

BROOK TROUT

| Harvest year | Harvested | Passed upstream | Misc. samples | Total |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| Fall, 1994 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 |
| Spring, 1995 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Fall, 1995 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Fall, 1996 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Fall, 1997 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Fall, 1998 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Fall, 1999 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |

Table 2. Number of chinook salmon harvested, passed upstream and sampled at the Root River Steelhead Facility during fall, 1999 and spring, 2000.

| Date | Number | Number | Number of | Total |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | harvested | passed | miscellaneous | number |
| | | upstream | samples | of fish |
| 29-Sep-99 | 0 | 791 | 197 | 988 |
| 30-Sep-99 | 0 | 981 | 16 | 997 |
| 01-Oct-99 | 0 | 70 | 4 | 74 |
| 04-Oct-99 | 0 | 385 | 0 | 385 |
| 05-Oct-99 | 147 | 427 | 23 | 597 |
| 07-Oct-99 | 0 | 666 | 2 | 668 |
| 08-Oct-99 | 0 | 556 | 3 | 559 |
| 11-Oct-99 | 74 | 397 | 27 | 498 |
| 13-Oct-99 | 0 | 302 | 25 | 327 |
| 15-Oct-99 | 0 | 309 | 7 | 316 |
| 18-Oct-99 | 0 | 120 | 102 | 222 |
| 21-Oct-99 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 172 |
| 02-Nov-99 | 0 | 187 | 14 | 201 |
| 03-Nov-99 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 03-Mar-00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08-Mar-00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 15-Mar-00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22-Mar-00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 30-Mar-00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Totals | 221 | 5,388 | 420 | 6,029 |

Table 3. Average weight, average length, standard weight and trophy (95th percentile) weight for the major salmonid species returning to the Root River Steelhead Facility during 1994 to 2000.

| Season | Number used | Average weight | Average | Standard | Trophy | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------|--|
| | in analysis | (pounds) | length (inches) | weight | weight | |
| | | | | | | |
| CHINOOK | SALMON | | | | | |
| 1994 – 95 | 343 | 8.9 <u>+</u> 5.3 | 27.7 ± 5.6 | 9.7 | 17.8 | |
| 1995 – 96 | 443 | 12.0 ± 5.9 | 30.7 ± 5.2 | 10.1 | 21.0 | |
| 1996 – 97 | 703 | 11.7 <u>+</u> 5.7 | 30.7 <u>+</u> 5.4 | 9.8 | 21.1 | |
| 1997 – 98 | 490 | 12.7 <u>+</u> 4.9 | 32.5 ± 4.4 | 9.5 | 21.1 | |
| 1998 – 99 | 389 | 12.2 ± 5.0 | 31.9 <u>+</u> 4.3 | 9.5 | 19.6 | |
| 1999 - 2000 | 418 | 13.2 <u>+</u> 4.4 | 32.5 ± 3.8 | 9.9 | 19.9 | |
| | | | | | | |
| COHO SAL | MON | | | | | |
| 1994 – 95 | 208 | 1.5 + 1.1 | 15.9 + 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | |
| 1995 – 96 | 594 | 3.1 ± 2.5 | 19.6 <u>+</u> 5.1 | 3.6 | 9.0 | |
| 1996 – 97 | 1,273 | 5.1 ± 2.4 | 23.9 ± 4.7 | 3.5 | 8.3 | |
| 1997 – 98 | 828 | 3.8 ± 1.7 | 21.8 ± 3.5 | 3.5 | 6.7 | |
| 1998 – 99 | 477 | 4.3 ± 1.7 | 23.4 ± 3.1 | 3.4 | 7.5 | |
| 1999 - 2000 | 338 | 7.1 ± 4.4 | 25.5 ± 5.9 | 4.0 | 13.5 | |
| | | | | | | |
| STEELHEA | D | | | | | |
| 1994 – 95 | 638 | 5.9 ± 2.8 | 25.4 ± 4.7 | 3.5 | 10.7 | |
| 1995 – 96 | 963 | 6.2 ± 2.7 | 25.6 ± 4.3 | 3.7 | 11.0 | |
| 1996 – 97 | 626 | 7.2 ± 2.4 | 27.4 ± 3.3 | 3.6 | 11.2 | |
| 1997 – 98 | 522 | 5.8 ± 2.9 | 25.7 ± 4.9 | 3.4 | 11.2 | |
| 1998 – 99 | 603 | 6.2 ± 2.0 | 25.9 ± 3.3 | 3.9 | 9.8 | |
| 1999 - 2000 | 767 | 7.3 ± 2.5 | 27.2 ± 3.9 | 3.6 | 11.0 | |
| | | | | | | |
| BROWN TI | BROWN TROUT | | | | | |
| 1994 – 95 | 108 | 4.9 ± 1.5 | 22.1 <u>+</u> 2.7 | 3.4 | 7.0 | |
| 1995 – 96 | 201 | 5.3 ± 2.2 | 22.4 ± 3.3 | 3.6 | 9.0 | |
| 1996 – 97 | 162 | $\frac{-}{4.6 \pm 2.1}$ | 21.4 ± 4.0 | 3.4 | 7.8 | |
| 1997 – 98 | 250 | 6.7 ± 3.4 | 24.0 ± 3.7 | 3.8 | 14.1 | |
| 1998 – 99 | 55 | 6.6 ± 3.2 | 24.3 ± 3.5 | 3.5 | 13.5 | |
| 1999 - 2000 | 120 | 6.7 <u>+</u> 2.6 | 23.9 ± 3.7 | 3.5 | 10.1 | |

Table 4. Number of chinook salmon stocked in the Root River during 1991 - 1999.

| Year stocked | Total number | Strain | Fin clip |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1991 | 174,933 | Lake Michigan | None |
| 1992 | 166,989 | Lake Ontario | RMLV |
| 1993 | 99,345 70,000 | Lake Michigan Lake Ontario | LMRV None |
| 1994 | 75,533 60,000 | Lake Michigan Lake Michigan | LP None |
| 1995 | 99,000 69,250 | Lake Michigan Lake Michigan | RP None |
| 1996 | 158,000 | Lake Michigan | None |
| 1997 | 142,500 | Lake Michigan | None |
| 1998 | 161,500 | Lake Michigan | None |
| 1999 | 143,100 | Lake Michigan | None |

Table 5. Return rate of chinook salmon at age and strain to the Root River Steelhead Facility during fall, 1994 – 1999. Return rate is expressed as a percent of the number of chinook salmon stocked in the Root River recovered at the Root River Steelhead Facility. Total number of chinook returning to the facility are in parentheses.

| | Percent age at return | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | 1+ | 2+ | 3+ | 4+ | Total return | |
| 1992 year class 1 L. Ontario (RMLV) | - | 0.15 (245) | 0.09 (152) | 0.01 (17) | 0.24 (414) | |
| 1993 year class L. Michigan (LMRV) | 0.33 (323) | 0.78 (775) | 0.85 (840) | 0.06 (68) | 2.02 (2,006) | |
| 1994 year class ² L. Michigan (LP) | 0.10 (73) | 0.58 (440) | 0.81 (612) | 0.04 (43) | 1.55 (1,171) | |
| 1995 year class L. Michigan (RP) | 0.19 (189) | 0.35 (346) | 0.41 (403) | 0.03 (35) | 0.98 (973) | |

¹ Total return for the L. Ontario strain chinook salmon from the 1992 year class was calculated only using ages 2-4. Actual total return rate was probably higher for this stocking. ² Three chinook from the 1994 year class returned at age 5+.

Table 6. Number of coho salmon harvested, passed upstream and sampled at the Root River Steelhead Facility during fall, 1999.

| Date | Number | Number | Number of | Total |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | harvested | passed | miscellaneous | number |
| | | upstream | samples | of fish |
| 29-Sep-99 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 46 |
| 30-Sep-99 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 01-Oct-99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 04-Oct-99 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 69 |
| 05-Oct-99 | 57 | 52 | 1 | 110 |
| 07-Oct-99 | 50 | 129 | 0 | 179 |
| 08-Oct-99 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 110 |
| 11-Oct-99 | 0 | 101 | 1 | 102 |
| 13-Oct-99 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 132 |
| 15-Oct-99 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 95 |
| 18-Oct-99 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 47 |
| 21-Oct-99 | 0 | 133 | 3 | 136 |
| 02-Nov-99 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 03-Nov-99 | 47 | 40 | 13 | 100 |
| Totals | 154 | 978 | 18 | 1,150 |

Table 7. Estimated age composition of coho salmon (sexes combined) examined at the Root River Steelhead Facility during fall, 1994 - 1999. Age is based on age-length key developed from known aged fin clipped coho salmon, except during 1999, when ages were assigned by length-frequency of measured fish. Total number represents the number of coho salmon used in the analysis.

| Year of | Percent | age composition | Total |
|---------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| Return | 1+ | 1+ 2+ | |
| | | | |
| 1994 | 53 | 47 | 780 |
| 1995 | 24 | 76 | 3,049 |
| 1996 | 32 | 68 | 4,211 |
| 1997 | 5 | 95 | 7,699 |
| 1998 | 12 | 88 | 4,170 |
| 1999 | 44 | 56 | 341 |

Table 8. Number of coho salmon stocked in the Root River during 1994 - 1999.

| Year stocked | Total number | Strain | Fin clip | Age |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|
| 1994 | 66,080 | Lake Ontario | None | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 55,954 | Lake Ontario | RMLP | Fall fingerling 0+ |
| | 50,389 | Lake Michigan | RP | Spring yearling 1+ |
| 1995 | 65,100 | Lake Michigan | RMRP | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 54,832 | Lake Michigan | RMLV | Fall fingerling 0+ |
| 1996 | 40,590 | Lake Michigan | RMRV | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 63,697 | Lake Michigan | LP | Fall fingerling 0+ |
| 1997 | 48,107 | Lake Michigan | RP | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 6,668 | Lake Michigan | REL | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 4,208 | Lake Michigan | None | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 20,604 | Lake Michigan | None | Fall fingerling 0+ |
| 1998 | 33,666 | Lake Michigan | None | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 10,000 | Lake Michigan | None | Fall fingerling 0+ |
| 1999 | 45,945 | Lake Michigan | None | Spring yearling 1+ |
| | 13,824 | Lake Michigan | None | Fall fingerling 0+ |

 $Table\ 9.\ Number\ of\ steelhead\ harvested,\ passed\ upstream\ and\ sampled\ at\ the\ Root\ River\ Steelhead\ Facility\ during\ fall,\ 1999\ and\ spring,\ 2000.$

| Date | Number harvested | Number passed | Number of miscellaneous | Total number |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | | upstream | Samples | of fish |
| 29-Sep-99 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 30-Sep-99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01-Oct-99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04-Oct-99 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 05-Oct-99 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| 07-Oct-99 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
| 08-Oct-99 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 11-Oct-99 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 18 |
| 13-Oct-99 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 15-Oct-99 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 18-Oct-99 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 21-Oct-99 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| 02-Nov-99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03-Nov-99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 03-Mar-00 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 383 |
| 08-Mar-00 | 0 | 559 | 2 | 561 |
| 15-Mar-00 | 0 | 638 | 60 | 698 |
| 22-Mar-00 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 293 |
| 30-Mar-00 | 0 | 234 | 2 | 236 |
| Totals | 50 | 2,126 | 65 | 2,241 |

Table 10. Estimated age composition of steelhead (sexes combined) examined at the Root River Steelhead Facility during 1994 – 2000. Age is based on age-length key developed from known-age fin clipped steelhead. Total number represents the number of steelhead used in the analysis.

| Year of | ar of Percent age composition | | | | | Total | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-----|--------|
| return | 1+ | 2+ | 3+ | 4+ | 5+ | 6+ | 7+ | number |
| F II 1004 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 42.0 | 24.0 | | | | 146 |
| Fall – 1994 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 43.2 | 34.2 | 6.2 | - | = | 146 |
| Spring – 1995 | | 7.3 | 31.3 | 38.0 | 12.7 | 10.7 | - | 450 |
| Fall – 1995 | 15.6 | 12.2 | 21.8 | 49.7 | 0.7 | - | - | 147 |
| Spring – 1996 | | 11.0 | 36.1 | 33.1 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 0.6 | 692 |
| Fall – 1996 | _ | 26.3 | 36.8 | 5.3 | 31.6 | _ | _ | 21 |
| Spring – 1997 | | 1.0 | 22.1 | 42.5 | 22.5 | 10.5 | 1.4 | 483 |
| E-11 1007 | | 4.4 | 14.2 | (7.2 | 0.6 | 4.4 | | 125 |
| Fall – 1997 | - | 4.4 | 14.2 | 67.2 | 9.6 | 4.4 | - | 135 |
| Spring – 1998 | | 15.3 | 35.9 | 37.6 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 287 |
| Fall – 1998 | _ | - | 29.3 | 44.0 | 25.3 | 1.4 | - | 75 |
| Spring – 1999 | | 2.1 | 46.5 | 44.2 | 7.3 | - | - | 385 |
| Fall – 1999 | _ | _ | 32.3 | 54.7 | 5.2 | 7.8 | _ | 51 |
| Spring – 2000 | | 8.0 | 21.3 | 53.6 | 14.2 | 3.0 | - | 714 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 11. Summary of steelhead stocking numbers by strain and fin clip in the Root River during 1994 through 1999.

| Year stocked | Total number | Strain | Fin clip | |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------|--|
| 1994 | 30,417 | Skamania | RM | |
| | 35,124 | Chambers Creek | LM | |
| | 34,759 | Ganaraska | LV | |
| 1995 | 37,347 | Skamania | ARM | |
| | 37,819 | Chambers Creek | ALM | |
| | 34,494 | Ganaraska | ALV | |
| 1996 | 34,254 | Skamania | RM | |
| | 34,579 | Chambers Creek | LM | |
| | 35,404 | Ganaraska | ARV | |
| 1997 | 35,262 | Skamania | RMRV | |
| | 35,024 | Chambers Creek | LMLV | |
| | 35,201 | Ganaraska | BV | |
| 1998 | 37,484 | Skamania | ARM | |
| | 33,187 | Chambers Creek | ALM | |
| | 33,548 | Ganaraska | ALV | |
| 1999 | 35,528 | Skamania | RM | |
| | 26,951 | Chambers Creek | LM | |
| | 26,963 | Ganaraska | ARV | |

Table 12. Average length and weight at age (\pm 1 standard deviation) of fin-clipped skamania steelhead examined at the Root River Steelhead Facility during fall, 1999.

| Sex | | Age 3+ | Age 4+ | Age 5+ | Age 6+ |
|--------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Female | Average length | 27.9 | 28.7 | 30.1 | 31.9 |
| | | $(\pm 1.3 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 1.0 \text{ in})$ | $(\underline{+}\ 0\ in)$ | $(\pm 0.7 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | 7.3 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 9.0 |
| | | $(\pm 0.7 \text{ lb.})$ | (<u>+</u> 1.1 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 0 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 0.1 lb.) |
| | Observations | 9 | 21 | 1 | 2 |
| Male | Average length | 29.1 | 30.7 | 33.1 | 32.5 |
| | | $(\pm 2.1 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 0.8 \text{ in})$ | $(\underline{+}\ 0\ in)$ | $(\pm 0.3 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | 7.3 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| | | $(\pm 1.0 \text{ lb.})$ | (<u>+</u> 0.6 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 0 lb.) | $(\pm 0.2 \text{ lb.})$ |
| | Observations | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 |

Table 13. Average length and weight at age (\pm 1 standard deviation) of fin-clipped steelhead examined at the Root River Steelhead Facility during spring, 2000.

| Strain and sex | | Age 2+ | Age 3+ | Age 4+ | Age 5+ | Age 6+ |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Chambers Cr. | Average length | | 25.3 | 28.7 | 29.5 | 30.4 |
| Female | | | $(\pm 1.5 \text{ in})$ | (<u>+</u> 1.4 in) | (<u>+</u> 1.9 in) | (<u>+</u> 2.0in) |
| | Average weight | | 6.1 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 9.1 |
| | | | $(\pm 1.0 \text{ lb.})$ | (<u>+</u> 1.4 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 1.7 lb.) | $(\pm 2.0 \text{ lb.})$ |
| | Observations | 0 | 15 | 53 | 37 | 5 |
| Chambers Cr. | Average length | 17.2 | 27.3 | 30.1 | 30.5 | 30.2 |
| Male | | $(\pm 1.1 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 1.7 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 2.1 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 2.7 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 0.1 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | 1.6 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| | | $(\pm 0.3 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 1.3 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 1.5 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 2.2 \text{ lb.})$ | (<u>+</u> 1.7 lb.) |
| | Observations | 12 | 11 | 37 | 17 | 3 |
| Ganaraska | Average length | 17.1 | 25.2 | 27.8 | 28.5 | 30.2 |
| Female | | $(\pm 0 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 2.2 \text{ in})$ | (<u>+</u> 1.6 in) | $(\pm 2.1 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 0.2 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | 1.9 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 9.1 |
| | | $(\pm 0 \text{ lb.})$ | (<u>+</u> 1.6 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 1.5 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 1.9 lb.) | $(\pm 0.8 \text{ lb.})$ |
| | Observations | 1 | 55 | 129 | 15 | 2 |
| Ganaraska | Average length | 16.7 | 24.7 | 29.9 | 27.0 | 30.1 |
| Male | | $(\pm 1.6 in)$ | $(\pm 2.7 in)$ | $(\pm 2.1 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 3.3 in)$ | $(\pm 0.3 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | 1.6 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 9.8 |
| | | $(\pm 0.4 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 1.7 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 2.0 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 3.3 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 0.3 \text{ lb.})$ |
| | Observations | 35 | 19 | 73 | 3 | 2 |
| Skamania | Average length | | 24.2 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 28.4 |
| Female | | | $(\pm 0 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 1.3 in)$ | (<u>+</u> 1.1 in) | $(\pm 0.7 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | | 5.4 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 7.5 |
| | | | $(\pm 0 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 0.8 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 0.9 \text{ lb.})$ | $(\pm 0.5 \text{ lb.})$ |
| | Observations | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Skamania | Average length | | 21.5 | | 31.7 | 31.9 |
| Male | | | $(\pm 6.3 \text{ in})$ | | $(\pm 0 \text{ in})$ | $(\pm 0.8 \text{ in})$ |
| | Average weight | | 3.9 | | 9.6 | 10.0 |
| | <i>5 6 .</i> | | (± 3.1 lb.) | | (<u>+</u> 0 lb.) | (<u>+</u> 1.3 lb.) |
| | Observations | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

 $Table\ 14.\ Population\ estimates\ for\ chinook,\ coho\ and\ steelhead\ salmon\ returning\ to\ the\ Root\ River\ during\ fall,\ 1994\ through\ spring,\ 2000.$

| | | | Number of marked | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Year and | Number of | Number of | fish in recapture | Population size |
| species | marked fish | recaptured fish | sample | (<u>+</u>) 1 SD |
| Fall 1994 | | • | • | |
| Chinook | 1,720 | 143 | 44 | 5,590 ± 701 |
| Coho | 513 | 2 | 0 | - |
| Skamania | 556 | 22 | 6 | $1,827 \pm 539$ |
| Spring 1995 | | | | , - |
| Chambers Creek | 1,653 | 117 | 45 | 4,298 <u>+</u> 503 |
| Ganaraska | 453 | 74 | 11 | 2,718 <u>+</u> 691 |
| Fall 1995 | | | | , <u>=</u> |
| Chinook | 2,663 | 36 | 21 | 4,478 <u>+</u> 594 |
| Coho | 1,354 | 33 | 13 | 3,288 ± 651 |
| Skamania | 482 | 36 | 6 | 2,547 ± 811 |
| Spring 1996 | | | | _ |
| Chambers Creek | 1,045 | 48 | 28 | 1,765 ± 206 |
| Ganaraska | 1,457 | 77 | 31 | 3,551 ± 475 |
| Fall 1996 | , | | | |
| Chinook | 5,440 | 37 | 36 | 5,587 ± 147 |
| Coho | 3,940 | 9 | 9 | 3,940 ± 0 |
| Skamania | 105 | 29 | 0 | $3,150 \pm 2,189$ |
| Spring 1997 | | | | |
| Chambers Creek | 900 | 38 | 6 | 5,014 <u>+</u> 1,606 |
| Ganaraska | 139 | 23 | 5 | 5,356 ± 1,753 |
| Fall 1997 | | | | |
| Chinook | 3,974 | 40 | 31 | 5,127 ± 436 |
| Coho | 6,909 | 52 | 45 | 7,983 <u>+</u> 436 |
| Skamania | 228 | 16 | 2 | $1,297 \pm 509$ |
| Spring 1998 | | | | |
| Chambers Creek | 93 | 15 | 2 | 501 <u>+</u> 226 |
| Ganaraska | 217 | 17 | 1 | 1,962 ± 1,067 |
| Fall 1998 | | | | |
| Chinook | 3,845 | 55 | 51 | 4,146 <u>+</u> 156 |
| Coho | 3,336 | 25 | 19 | 4,389 <u>+</u> 493 |
| Skamania | 64 | 33 | 1 | 1,088 <u>+</u> 609 |
| Brown | 202 | 31 | 11 | 539 <u>+</u> 118 |
| Spring 1999 | | | | |
| Chambers Creek | 678 | - | - | - |
| Ganaraska | 1,043 | - | - | - |
| Fall 1999 | | | | |
| Chinook | 5,381 | 18 | 7 | $13,836 \pm 4,088$ |
| Coho | 978 | 111 | 35 | 3,101 <u>+</u> 434 |
| Skamania | 19 | 13 | 0 | 266 ± 181 |
| Brown | 125 | 17 | 2 | 750 <u>+</u> 342 |
| Spring 2000 | | | | |
| Chambers Creek | 460 | 1 | 0 | - |
| Ganaraska | 1,006 | 21 | 13 | 1,625 <u>+</u> 278 |